FILED ___   RECEIVED ___
ENTERED ___   SERVED ON
COUNSEL/PARTIES OF RECORD

APR - 2 2012

CLERK US DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA
BY: _____ DEPUTY

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

CHRISTOPHER L. BIRD,
 	
       Plaintiff,
 	
vs.
 	
RECONTRUST COMPANY, N.A.; BAC HOME SERVICING, LP, a subsidiary of BANK OF AMERICA, N.A., f/k/a COUNTRYWIDE HOME LOANS SERVICING LP; MORTGAGE ELECTRONIC REGISTRATION SYSTEMS, INC.; JOHN DOES 1 through 10; and DOE CORPORATIONS 1 through 10,
 	
       Defendants.
	3:10-CV-00649-RCJ-VPC
	
	Order

This case arises out of the foreclosure of Plaintiff's residence and is set for a bench trial on April 12, 2012.

On March 21, 2012, Plaintiff filed a Motion for Reconsideration (#80) regarding the Order (#61) of October 14, 2011 wherein the District Judge denied Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment (#22) on the grounds that it sought judgment on a claim not alleged in the Complaint (#1-2). Plaintiff argues that the previous Order (#61) should be rescinded so that Plaintiff may proceed on an amended complaint.

Plaintiff also filed a Motion to Amend Complaint (#81) on March 21, 2012 wherein Plaintiff seeks to amend the complaint to conform to proof filed in its previous motion for summary judgment (#22).

1   The Court finds that Plaintiffs should not be granted leave to

2 amend the complaint at this late date mere weeks before trial.

3 Moreover, Plaintiff has failed to attach a proposed amended

4 complaint to his motions as required by Local Rule 15-1, further

5 delaying notice to the Court and Defendants of Plaintiff's proposed

6 claims.   On these bases, Plaintiff will not be granted leave to

7 amend.

8

9   **IT IS, THEREFORE, HEREBY ORDERED THAT** Plaintiff's Motion for

10 Reconsideration (#80) and Motion to Amend Complaint (#81) are

11 **DENIED**.

12

13

14

15 DATED: March _30_, 2012.

16

17   UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28   2